UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,444	02/06/2002	Giovanni M. Della-Libera	13768.1074	9546
47973 7590 01/22/2009 WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			EXAMINER	
			HOMAYOUNMEHR, FARID	
SALT LAKE C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

\_\_\_\_\_

Ex parte: GIOVANNI M. DELLA-LIBERA, CHRISTOPHER G. KALER, SCOTT A. KONERSMANN, BUTLER W. LAMPSON, PAUL J. LEACH, BRADFORD H. LOVERING, STEVEN E. LUCCO, STEPHEN J. MILLET, RICHARD F. RASHID, and JOHN P. SHEWCHUK

\_\_\_\_

Application No. 10/068,444 Technology Center 2100

.....

Mailed: 22 January 2009

Before LAWRENCE J. BANKS *Paralegal Specialist* BANKS, *Paralegal Specialist*.

### ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on September 9, 2007. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal.

Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

### APPEAL BRIEF, ARGUMENTS

A review of the file finds that the arguments with respect to each grounds of rejection as provided in the Appeal Brief filed June 18, 2007, under the heading "Argument" have not been clearly provided. Each grounds of rejection must be treated under a <u>separate heading</u> in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii). Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number. Claims argued as a group should be placed under a subheading identifying the claims by number.

Appellant has not provided the necessary headings and/or subheadings for arguments which correspond to each grounds of rejection. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1205.02 (8<sup>th</sup> ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on June 18, 2007, defective;
- 2) notify Appellant to file a paper properly presenting the arguments for each grounds of rejection as required; and
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 10/068,444

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111